

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,584	09/08/2003	Joerg Beringer	09282.0010-00000	1932	
60668 SAP / FINNEO	7590 04/01/200 GAN, HENDERSON LI	EXAMINER			
901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW	JAMI, HARES			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2162		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/658,584	BERINGER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	HARES JAMI	2162		

	HARES J	AMI	2162						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, appli									
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 									
b) Meeting the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.									
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 									
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) flide after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	tter form for	appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	correspond	ing number of finally reis	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ing nambor or imany roje	otou diamio.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			.,,	,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if s								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.5-20.25.27 and 29-37. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	.t bafara ar	on the date of Elina a Na	tion of Annualill not	be entered					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant for provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. \(\bigcirc \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.									
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:									
/John Breene/	Ha	res Jami							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2162	Ex	aminer : Unit: 2162							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The amendments and arguments regarding specification objection and 35 USC 101 rejections have been accepted by the Examiner. Therefore, prior specification objection and 35 USC 101 rejections have been withdrawn.

Regarding the Applicants' argument on pages 13-14 that prior art does not teach the newly added limitation of "storing the hit-list as a collection of resources which is used for further actions or stored as a persistent collection, the stored hit-list including a collection of heterogeneous resources" to claims 1 and 25, the Examiner respectfully disagrees.

In addition to Siefert reference disclosing that the user is provided with a retrieved collections of hit-list which can be used for further action of retrieving implying that the hit-list of resources are stored before the retrieving (see Fig. 11-13, and Col. 11, lines 10-15, Siefert). the Singh reference in paragraphs 372 and 389 clearly discloses that the results or hit-lists are stored in a storage for further action by the user. Moreover, it is a basic knowledge in the art that the retrieved results are stored in the RAM memory of the user's computer awaiting further action by the user.

Furthermore, Siefert discloses different types of resource profiles for as books, movies, and documents (see Fig. 40-54, col. 4, line 65 through col. 5, line 56, and col. 18, lines 6-27, Siefert) and the user is provided with a retrieved collections of hit-list which can be used for further action of retrieving implying that the hit-list of resources are stored before the retrieving (see Fig. 11-13, and Col. 11, lines 10-15, Siefert).

Regarding the Applicants' argument on page 15 that prior art does not teach limitation of "wherein the narrowed hit-list is displayed based on the resource type" recited by claim 37, the Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Singh in Fig. 8-9, [0079], [0163], and [0204] teaches displaying the hit list based on the resource type of books.